

A semiclassical derivation of Einstein's rate equations for light-matter interaction

Germán J. de Valcárcel and Eugenio Roldán

Departament de Òptica, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot, Spain

Abstract

Einstein's rate equations are derived from the semiclassical Bloch equations describing the interaction of a classical broadband light field with a two-level system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lorentz's damped and forced harmonic oscillator equation [1] and Einstein's rate equations [2] are very valuable and widely used heuristic models for the description of light-matter interaction. Although each of these models applies to different situations (roughly speaking, the Lorentz equation usually applies when weak or highly detuned fields are involved whilst Einstein rate equations are most adequate for describing resonant interaction of atoms with broadband fields), both can be fully justified within the framework of quantum optics in the appropriate limits [3, 4, 5, 6].

Generically speaking, formal derivations from first principles of heuristic models are important. This is not only for esthetical reasons or completeness arguments as these derivations allow to clarify the domain of applicability of the heuristic model. The situation of the Lorentz and Einstein models is quite different in this sense: Whilst formal derivations of the Lorentz equation from the semiclassical theory of light-matter interaction, *i.e.* from the optical Bloch equations, can be found in several textbooks (see, e.g. [3, 4]), formal derivation of the Einstein's rate equations (ERE for short) cannot be found in textbooks nor in research articles to the best of the authors knowledge [7]. This is the most surprising given the paramount importance of the Einstein model.

Of course, the above does not mean at all that the connection between the optical Bloch equations and ERE has not been treated: Several quantum optics textbooks (see, e.g. [4, 5, 6]) discuss ERE and provide derivations of the A and B Einstein coefficients. We find it important to remark that whilst the derivation of the A coefficient requires quantization of both matter and electromagnetic field (see, e.g. [5]) [8], the B coefficient can be derived within the standard semiclassical formalism (in which only matter is quantized), a derivation that provides the same result as that obtained with the fully quantized theory [5]. Obviously, the values of A and B thus obtained verify the relation already given by Einstein for the ratio A/B . It is also worth noting that the semiclassical derivations of the B coefficient make use of the assumption of weak field, an approximation that is not necessary indeed.

In this article we derive ERE from the Bloch equations with heuristically added spontaneous emission terms as we are interested in stimulated processes. The article is organized as follows: In section II we present briefly ERE; in section III we introduce the optical Bloch equa-

tions and reduce them to an integro-differential equation for the atomic inversion. Then, we derive ERE, first in the limit of weak field intensity (section IV) and later removing this assumption (section V). We find it convenient to make this distinction because the derivation in the weak field limit does not involve certain statistical assumptions which are necessary for arbitrary field strength. In section VI we discuss the limits of validity of ERE and finally, in section VI, we give our main conclusions.

II. EINSTEIN RATE EQUATIONS

ERE were postulated by Albert Einstein in his famous 1917 paper [2] and a clear presentation of them can be found, e.g., in [5]. Einstein introduced three basic processes describing the interaction of a broadband isotropic light field with an atomic two-level system: Stimulated absorption, stimulated emission, and spontaneous emission. Einstein assigned to these processes a constant probability per unit time given by $B_{12}W(\omega_{21})$, $B_{21}W(\omega_{21})$, and A_{21} , respectively, being $W(\omega_{21})$ the spectral energy density of the light field at the frequency ω_{21} of the atomic transition, and A_{21} , B_{12} , and B_{21} the Einstein coefficients, which do not depend on the field strength nor on time.

Assuming that all N atoms are in either the upper excited state (labelled 2) or in the lower fundamental state (1), the time evolution of the population of atoms in level 2 (N_2) and 1 ($N_1 = N - N_2$) are governed by

$$\dot{N}_2 = -A_{21}N_2 + W(\omega_{21})(B_{12}N_1 - B_{21}N_2), \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{N}_1 = -\dot{N}_2, \quad (2)$$

assuming that the number of atoms N is large enough for the individual absorptions and emissions produce smooth temporal changes in the populations (the dot stands for time derivative).

Through the analysis of thermal equilibrium it is easy to prove that $B_{12} = B_{21}$ and $A_{21}/B_{21} = \hbar\omega_{21}^3/\pi^2c^3$ [5]. Finally, by introducing the normalized population inversion

$$\bar{n} = \frac{N_2 - N_1}{N}, \quad (3)$$

($1 \geq \bar{n} \geq -1$) we can rewrite ERE Eqs.(1) and (2) in the simpler form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bar{n}(t) = -A[\bar{n}(t) + 1] - 2BW(\omega_{21})\bar{n}(t), \quad (4)$$

with $A \equiv A_{21}$ and $B \equiv B_{12} = B_{21}$.

A. Weak field limit of ERE

For later purposes it is convenient to consider the special case of a weak light field. This can be done by writing $W(\omega_{21}) = \varepsilon W_1(\omega_{21})$, with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $W_1(\omega_{21})$ an order one quantity, expanding the inversion in powers of ε

$$\bar{n}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^m \bar{n}_m(t), \quad (5)$$

and writing the equation of evolution for the first orders in ε . At order ε^0 Eq.(4) reads $d\bar{n}_0/dt = -A(\bar{n}_0 + 1)$, and thus $\bar{n}_0 = -1$ after a transient. Making use of this, at order ε one gets

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}_1(t) = -A[\bar{n}_1(t) + 1] + 2BW_1(\omega_{21}), \quad (6)$$

which is the weak field limit of ERE.

III. BLOCH EQUATIONS FOR A TWO-LEVEL SYSTEM

Let us consider a light field of the form

$$\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{E}^*(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int d^3k \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - ckt)}, \quad (8)$$

interacting with a closed two-level atom or molecule, whose excited and fundamental states are $|2\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, respectively. The two-level atom has a transition frequency ω_{21} and electric dipole matrix elements $\langle 2|\hat{\mu}|1\rangle = \langle 1|\hat{\mu}|2\rangle \equiv \mu\mathbf{z}$, which have been taken to be real vectors, aligned parallel to the cartesian z -axis, without loss of generality. In the Dirac picture, and after performing the rotating-wave approximation, the semiclassical optical Bloch equations for an *individual atom* located at point $\mathbf{r}$ can be written as [3, 4, 5]

$$\dot{\rho}_{22}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -A\rho_{22} - i[\Omega^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\rho_{21} - \Omega(\mathbf{r}, t)\rho_{12}], \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{11}(\mathbf{r}, t) = +A\rho_{22} + i[\Omega^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\rho_{21} - \Omega(\mathbf{r}, t)\rho_{12}], \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{21}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{1}{2}A\rho_{21} - i\Omega(\mathbf{r}, t)(\rho_{22} - \rho_{11}), \quad (11)$$

where $\rho_{22}(\rho_{11})$ is the population of the upper (lower) atomic level, ρ_{12} is the slowly varying atomic coherence and

$$\Omega(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu}{\hbar} \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{i\omega_{21}t}, \quad (12)$$

is half the complex Rabi frequency of the light field. The effect of spontaneous emission needs to be described phenomenologically (through the damping/pumping terms

proportional to the A coefficient) since, as it is well known, the standard semiclassical theory cannot describe spontaneous emission [?].

The optical Bloch equations (9)–(11) admit the simpler form

$$\dot{n} = -A(n + 1) - 2i(\Omega^*\rho_{21} - \Omega\rho_{12}), \quad (13)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{21} = -\frac{1}{2}A\rho_{21} - i\Omega n, \quad (14)$$

where $n(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \rho_{22}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \rho_{11}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the population inversion of the atom.

Now Eq.(14) can be integrated formally yielding

$$\rho_{21}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -i \int_0^t d\tau \Omega(\mathbf{r}, \tau) n(\mathbf{r}, \tau) e^{\frac{1}{2}A(\tau-t)}, \quad (15)$$

where we have taken $\rho_{21}(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 0$ for the sake of simplicity. Substituting this into Eq.(13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{n} = & -A(n + 1) \\ & - 4 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t d\tau \Omega^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \Omega(\mathbf{r}, \tau) n(\mathbf{r}, \tau) e^{\frac{1}{2}A(\tau-t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

A. Ensemble averaging

Let us emphasize that Eq.(16) is the equation of evolution for the population inversion *for a single* atom. As we are interested in the average evolution of the whole system, the ensemble of atoms, which is the quantity whose evolution ERE describe, we next write down the evolution equation for the ensemble averaged inversion

$$\bar{n}(t) \equiv \langle n(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \rangle, \quad (17)$$

where the index a labels each atom and

$$\langle f(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N f(\mathbf{r}_a, t), \quad (18)$$

for any arbitrary function $f(\mathbf{r}_a, t)$ (N the total number of atoms). The equation of evolution of the ensemble-averaged inversion $\bar{n}(t)$ is obtained from Eq. (16) and reads

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n} = -A(\bar{n} + 1) - 4 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t d\tau C_n(t, \tau) e^{\frac{1}{2}A(\tau-t)}, \quad (19)$$

$$C_n(t, \tau) = \langle \Omega^*(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \Omega(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau) n(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau) \rangle. \quad (20)$$

In the next section we derive ERE for the particular case of a weak light field, and leave the general case for the following section.

IV. DERIVATION OF THE EINSTEIN'S RATE EQUATIONS I: WEAK FIELD

We start with Eq.(16) and follow the same steps as in deriving Eq.(6) in subsection II A: Assume a weak field

$\Omega(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \varepsilon \Omega_1(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$, expand the inversion in powers of ε , Eq. (5), and find the equation of evolution at order ε . One easily gets

$$\dot{n}_1 = -A(n_1 + 1) + 4 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t d\tau \Omega^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \Omega(\mathbf{r}, \tau) e^{\frac{1}{2}A(\tau-t)}. \quad (21)$$

Now, performing the ensemble averaging

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}_1 = -A(\bar{n}_1 + 1) + 4 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t d\tau C(t, \tau) e^{\frac{1}{2}A(\tau-t)}, \quad (22)$$

where $C(t, \tau)$ is the correlation function

$$C(t, \tau) = \langle \Omega^*(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \Omega(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau) \rangle. \quad (23)$$

A. Evaluation of the correlation $C(t, \tau)$

In this subsection we calculate the correlation function $C(t, \tau)$ for the special case of an isotropic broadband light-field. From Eq. (23) and definition (18) we have

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \Omega^*(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \Omega(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau), \quad (24)$$

which, under the assumptions of very large N and homogeneous spatial distribution of atoms, can be computed as

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3r \Omega^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \Omega(\mathbf{r}, \tau). \quad (25)$$

with V the volume occupied by the sample. Making use of Eq. (12), Eq. (25) becomes

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{\mu^2}{V\hbar^2} \int d^3r E_z^*(\mathbf{r}, t) E_z(\mathbf{r}, \tau) e^{-i\omega_{21}(t-\tau)}, \quad (26)$$

with $E_z(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. By using Eq. (7), and assuming a large enough gas volume as for being possible to apply $\int d^3r e^{i(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})$, which is strictly valid only for an infinite volume, Eq. (26) becomes

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{(2\pi)^3 \mu^2}{V\hbar^2} e^{-i\omega_{21}(t-\tau)} \int d^3k |E_z(\mathbf{k})|^2 e^{ick(t-\tau)}, \quad (27)$$

with $E_z(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k})$

We now evaluate the integral in Eq.(27) by expressing the electric vectors as

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{e}_{||}(\mathbf{k}) E_{||}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{e}_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}) E_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (28)$$

where the polarization unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_{||}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\perp}(\mathbf{k})$ are perpendicular to $\mathbf{k}$, and are chosen to be parallel and orthogonal, respectively, to the plane defined by $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{z}$. With this choice,

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{||}(\mathbf{k}) = \sin \theta, \quad \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (29)$$

with θ is the angle defined by $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ [10].

Substituting Eqs. (28) and (29) into Eq. (27) and expressing the integral in spherical coordinates, we obtain

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{(2\pi)^3 \mu^2}{V\hbar^2} e^{-i\omega_{21}(t-\tau)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin^3 \theta \times \int_0^{\infty} dk k^2 |E_{||}(\mathbf{k})|^2 e^{ick(t-\tau)}. \quad (30)$$

We finally assume that $|E(\mathbf{k})|^2$ is dependent on k but not on the orientation of $\mathbf{k}$, i.e. we assume that the light energy at a given k is distributed isotropically in all directions. In particular, we write

$$|E_{||}(\mathbf{k})|^2 = |E_{||}(\omega)|^2, \quad \omega = ck. \quad (31)$$

Under this assumption, the angular integrations can be done and changing the integration variable from k to ω , Eq. (30) becomes

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{(2\pi)^4 4\mu^2}{3V\hbar^2 c^3} e^{-i\omega_{21}(t-\tau)} \times \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \omega^2 |E_{||}(\omega)|^2 e^{i\omega(t-\tau)}. \quad (32)$$

Finally, taking into account that the spectral density of energy per unit volume of the light field can be written as (see the Appendix)

$$W(\omega) = \frac{8\varepsilon_0}{Vc^3} (2\pi)^4 \omega^2 |E_{||}(\omega)|^2, \quad (33)$$

we can express the correlation function $C(t, \tau)$, Eq. (32), in terms of $W(\omega)$ as

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{\mu^2}{6\hbar^2 \varepsilon_0} e^{-i\omega_{21}(t-\tau)} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega W(\omega) e^{i\omega(t-\tau)}. \quad (34)$$

Introducing $\beta = \omega - \omega_{21}$, we get

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{\mu^2}{6\hbar^2 \varepsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\beta W(\omega_{21} + \beta) e^{i\beta(t-\tau)}. \quad (35)$$

where the lower integration limit has been extended from $-\omega_{21}$ to $-\infty$, which is a very good approximation for optical frequencies. Hence it turns out that $C(t, \tau)$ is proportional to the Fourier transform of the spectral density of energy of the light field.

B. Rate Equations

Making use of Eq.(35), Eq.(22) reads

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}_1(t) = -A(\bar{n}_1 + 1) + \frac{2\mu^2}{3\hbar^2 \varepsilon_0} \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\beta W(\omega_{21} + \beta) K(\beta), \quad (36)$$

$$K(\beta) = \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t d\tau e^{-(\frac{1}{2}A - i\beta)(t-\tau)}. \quad (37)$$

Performing the integral $K(\beta)$ one gets,

$$K(\beta) = \text{Re} \frac{1 - e^{-(\frac{1}{2}A - i\beta)t}}{\frac{1}{2}A - i\beta} \xrightarrow{At \gg 1} \frac{\frac{1}{2}A}{(\frac{1}{2}A)^2 + \beta^2}, \quad (38)$$

$$\text{Re} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\beta W(\omega_{21} + \beta) K \approx \pi W(\omega_{21})$$

which is a Lorentzian of width A centered at $\beta = 0$. Going back to Eq. (36), if $W(\omega_{21} + \beta)$ is a smooth function, (specifically, if $A[\partial W(\omega_{21} + \beta)/\partial\beta]_{\beta=0} \ll 1$) we can take $W(\omega_{21} + \beta) = W(\omega_{21})$ and the final result reads $(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\beta K(\beta) = \pi)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}_1(t) = -A(\bar{n}_1 + 1) + \frac{2\pi\mu^2}{3\hbar^2\varepsilon_0} W(\omega_{21}), \quad (39)$$

which compared with Eq.(6) provides the following value for the Einstein B coefficient:

$$B = \frac{\pi\mu^2}{3\hbar^2\varepsilon_0}, \quad (40)$$

which is the correct one for isotropic radiation [5].

V. DERIVATION OF THE EINSTEIN'S RATE EQUATIONS II: ARBITRARY FIELD STRENGTH

Now we remove the weak light field assumption and return to Eq.(19). Now it is the correlation C_n , Eq.(20), that has to be evaluated.

We are considering broadband incoherent light fields. This implies that the quantities appearing in C_n oscillate randomly in time: On the one hand the phases of the Fourier components of the field, $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k})$, are random as the field is incoherent, and on the other hand, the values taken by the population inversion of a single atom $n(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ are not correlated with those of the fields at this very instant, because the value of the inversion depends on previous times. Thus, it seems reasonable to assume that the random variations of the inversion of each individual atom, $n(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau)$, are decorrelated from the random variations of the mutual intensity $\Omega^*(\mathbf{r}_a, t)\Omega(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau)$. This assumption constitutes our main approximation. Thus, we assume

$$C_n(t, \tau) \approx \langle \Omega^*(\mathbf{r}_a, t)\Omega(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau) \rangle \langle n(\mathbf{r}_a, \tau) \rangle = C(t, \tau) \bar{n}(\tau), \quad (41)$$

with $C(t, \tau)$ given by Eq.(35).

Once Eq.(41) is assumed, the derivation of ERE is easy: substituting Eqs.(41) and (35) into Eq. (19) one gets

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}(t) = -A[\bar{n}(t) + 1] - \frac{2\mu^2}{3\hbar^2\varepsilon_0} \text{Re} \int_0^t d\tau \bar{n}(\tau) e^{-\frac{A}{2}(t-\tau)} \quad (42)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\beta W(\omega_{21} + \beta) e^{i\beta(t-\tau)},$$

which after making the same assumptions as in Sec. IV B becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}(t) = -A[\bar{n}(t) + 1] - \frac{2\pi\mu^2}{3\hbar^2\varepsilon_0} W(\omega_{21}) \bar{n}(t). \quad (43)$$

This is the same Eq. (4) after identifying the B coefficient, Eq.(40).

We see that it is not necessary to assume a weak field for deriving the B coefficient with the semiclassical theory. In spite of that, for arbitrary field intensity it is the statistical independence of the fluctuations of the inversion and the mutual intensity that must be invoked.

VI. ESTIMATION OF THE DOMAIN OF APPLICABILITY OF THE EINSTEIN'S RATE EQUATIONS

The derivation presented above is a bit crude in the sense that the approximation carried out in Sect. IV B (that $W(\omega)$ was a smooth enough function of ω) does not inform on the domain of validity of the resulting ERE. In other words, we have assumed an infinitely broad spectrum for the light field and the natural question is: How broad must the spectrum be for ERE be valid? In this section we give such an estimation by considering a finite spectrum linewidth. Specifically, we assume that $W(\omega)$ has a Lorentzian form, which represents many actual light sources but can be regarded also as a representation of any bell-shaped distribution. Then we take

$$W(\omega) = W(\omega_0) \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 + (\omega - \omega_0)^2}, \quad (44)$$

where ω_0 is the center of the spectrum, which has a width γ . We note that

$$W(\omega_{21}) = W(\omega_0) \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 + \delta^2}, \quad (45)$$

where $\delta = \omega_{21} - \omega_0$ is the detuning between the transition frequency and the center of the light field spectrum. With this Lorentzian form, Eq. (34) can be evaluated to yield

$$C(t, \tau) = \frac{\pi\mu^2}{6\hbar^2\varepsilon_0} \gamma W(\omega_0) e^{-i\delta(t-\tau)} e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}. \quad (46)$$

We now go back to Eq. (19), with approximation (41), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}(t) = & -A[\bar{n}(t) + 1] - 2\gamma B W(\omega_0) \\ & \times \text{Re} \int_0^t d\tau \bar{n}(\tau) e^{-(\frac{1}{2}A + \gamma + i\delta)(t-\tau)}, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where we have made use of Eq. (40). We finally evaluate the integral by parts repeatedly, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}(t) &= -A [\bar{n}(t) + 1] - 2\gamma BW(\omega_0) \\ &\text{Re} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{(\frac{1}{2}A + \gamma + i\delta)^{p+1}} \\ &\times \left[\bar{n}^{(p)}(t) - \bar{n}^{(p)}(0) e^{-(\frac{1}{2}A + \gamma + i\delta)t} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where the superscript (p) denotes the p -th derivative with respect to t . If we now assume that $\gamma \gg A$ (the spectrum is broad referred to the natural linewidth of the atomic transition), the exponentials in Eq. (48) can be ignored as they are damped out in a time $\sim \gamma^{-1}$ much smaller than the characteristic time A^{-1} of the inversion dynamics. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{n}(t) = -A [\bar{n}(t) + 1] - 2\gamma BW(\omega_0) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} S_p(t), \quad (49)$$

$$S_p(t) = \bar{n}^{(p)}(t) \text{Re} \frac{(-1)^p}{(\gamma + i\delta)^{p+1}}. \quad (50)$$

Clearly, in order that Eq. (49) be equivalent to Einstein equation, Eq. (4), the terms with $p \geq 1$ should be negligible. Let us then evaluate the order of magnitude of the p -th order, which we denote by $\mathcal{O}(S_p)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(S_p) &= \mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(p)}) \text{Re} \frac{1}{(\gamma + i\delta)^{p+1}} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(p)}) |\gamma + i\delta|^{-(p+1)} = \mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(p)}) (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

We thus need to evaluate $\mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(p)})$. We start with $p = 0$, i.e., $\bar{n}^{(0)}(t) = \bar{n}(t)$ whose order of magnitude is 1. Thus $\mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(0)}) = 1$. We proceed with $p = 1$, and assume that it can be computed by neglecting the $S_{p \geq 1}$'s in Eq. (48). We then have

$$\bar{n}^{(1)}(t) = -A [\bar{n}(t) + 1] - 2BW(\omega_{21}) \bar{n}(t), \quad (52)$$

where Eq. (45) has been used. An upper bound to the order of magnitude of $\bar{n}^{(1)}$ can be estimated by using $\mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(0)}) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\bar{n}) = 1$, hence $\mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(1)}) = 2A + 2BW(\omega_{21})$. Similarly we obtain $\bar{n}^{(2)}(t) \simeq -[A + 2BW(\omega_{21})] \bar{n}^{(1)}(t)$, and making use of Eq. (52), $\mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(2)}) = [2A + 2BW(\omega_{21})]^2$, where a factor 2 multiplying A has been added, which amounts to overestimate $\mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(2)})$. In general one gets $\mathcal{O}(\bar{n}^{(p)}) = [2A + 2BW(\omega_{21})]^p$. Substituting this expression into Eq. (51) we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}(S_p) = \gamma [2A + 2BW(\omega_{21})]^p (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}. \quad (53)$$

Now we now impose $\mathcal{O}(S_{p+1}) \ll \mathcal{O}(S_p)$, what directly leads to an upper bound to the spectral density,

$$\frac{2BW(\omega_{21})}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + \delta^2}} \ll 1, \quad (54)$$

where we have recalled that $A \ll \gamma$.

In resume, under condition (54), all $S_{p \geq 1}$ can be neglected and Eq. (49) reduces to the Einstein's rate equation Eq. (4). Notice that condition (54) imposes a constrain on the field spectrum for ERE being applicable: If the energy density $W(\omega_{21})$ is very large, the spectrum linewidth γ (or the detuning δ) must be consequently large.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have provided a derivation of the Einstein's rate equations from the semiclassical Bloch equation for an ensemble of two-level atoms or molecules. The derivation has been done first for a weak field and we have obtained the right expression for the B coefficient. In our derivation the necessary ensemble averaging is done explicitly. Then, we have generalized the derivation to the case of arbitrary field strength by assuming a statistical independence of the fluctuations of the atomic inversion and the mutual intensity, which is a reasonable assumption for an incoherent light field. Finally we have estimated which condition the spectrum of such an incoherent field must verify for the ERE be valid, Eq. (54).

Let us finally note that in all the above derivations the condition $At \gg 1$ has been invoked. Thus the ERE describe the "long term" behavior of the system and their solutions are only meaningful in this limit.

VIII. APPENDIX

Let us now see how Eq. (32) relates to the spectral density of energy per unit volume of the light field. The energy per unit volume η can be computed as

$$\eta = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2V} \int d^3r \left[\vec{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) + c^2 \vec{\mathcal{B}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \right]. \quad (55)$$

By making use of Eq. (7), writing $\vec{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{B}^*(\mathbf{r}, t)$ with

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int d^3k \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - ckt)}, \quad (56)$$

and making use of the well known property $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d}) - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{d})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})$, it can be readily shown that

$$\eta = 2 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{V} (2\pi)^3 \int d^3k \left[|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k})|^2 + c^2 |\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \right]. \quad (57)$$

Taking into account that

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k})}{c|\mathbf{k}|}, \quad (58)$$

Eq. (57) simplifies to

$$\eta = 2 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{V} (2\pi)^3 \int d^3k |\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k})|^2. \quad (59)$$

Now, making use of Eq. (28), expressing this integral in spherical coordinates, and changing the integration variable from k to $\omega = ck$, one gets

$$\eta = 8 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{V c^3} (2\pi)^4 \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^2 |E_{||}(\omega)|^2, \quad (60)$$

where the angular integration has been performed and again it has been used the isotropy condition $|E_{\perp}(\omega)|^2 =$

$|E_{||}(\omega)|^2$. Finally, from Eq. (60) we identify the spectral density of energy per unit volume as

$$W(\omega) = 8 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{V c^3} (2\pi)^4 \omega^2 |E_{||}(\omega)|^2. \quad (61)$$

-
- [1] H. A. Lorentz, *The Theory of Electrons* (Teubner, Leipzig, 1909), Chap. 4.
 - [2] A. Einstein, *Quantum Theory of Radiation*, Phys. Z. **18**, 121–128 (1917); an English translation appears in *The World of the Atom*, edited by H. A. Boorse and L. Motz (Basic Books, New York, 1966), Vol.2, pp. 888–901.
 - [3] P. W. Milonni and J.H. Eberly, *Lasers*, (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988)
 - [4] B. W. Shore, *The Theory of Atomic Coherent Excitation* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1990).
 - [5] R. Loudon, *The Quantum Theory of Light* (Oxford University Press, 2000).
 - [6] J. N. Dodd, *Atoms and Light: Interactions* (Plenum Press, New York, 1991).
 - [7] Let us stress that derivations of rate equations through adiabatic elimination of the medium polarization (valid in the limit of large decay for the atomic coherence, as compared to that of the population inversion, see e.g. [3]) are not true derivations of Einstein's rate equations. For example, in this way one does not obtain the right expression for the Einstein's coefficients. For deriving ERE, one must take into account the broadband nature of the light field and has not to impose any a priori condition on the atomic decay rates.
 - [8] This is not completely exact: Although it is true that the standard semiclassical theory does not explain spontaneous emission, there exists at least one form of the semiclassical theory that can. Self-field Quantum Electrodynamics, the theory developed by A.O. Barut and collaborators during the eighties, is a radiation-matter interaction theory that correctly describes spontaneous emission *without* field quantization. See, e.g., [9]
 - [9] A. O. Barut and J. P. Dowling, *Self-field quantum electrodynamics: the two-level atom*, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 2284–2294 (1990).
 - [10] Note that the single case in which the referred plane is undefined is when $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ are parallel, i.e. $\theta = 0$, but in this case both polarization unit vectors are orthogonal to $\mathbf{z}$, in agreement with the result $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{||}(\mathbf{k}) = \sin \theta = 0$ and $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$.